

Novità nel trattamento della malattia HER2positiva

Antonella Ferro

Trento

Disclosure

• Honoraria: Pfizer, Novartis, Daiichi Sankyo, Ely Lilly, Seagen, Gilead, Astra Zeneca

• Travel support: Ipsen, Novartis

• Research support (to the Institution): Pfizer

The era of personalized medicine in HER2+ EBC

- The favorable outcomes of HER2+ breast cancers provide opportunity to:
 - De-escalate therapy for lower risk patients to reduce the toxicities of treatment
 - Escalate therapy for minority of patients who are risk for recurrence despite maximal current management

Strategy for Managing Patients With Stage I-III HER2+ EBC



DE-ESCALATION STRATEGIES

Editorials

- Short Trastuzumab durations
 - Only Persephone demonstratec the optimal duration!

- Avoiding anthracyclines
 - BCIRG 006
 - TRAIN 2

- Small tumors (Remove part of t)
 - APT: paclitaxel + trastuzumab
 - ATTEMPT: T-DM1

Anthracyclines in Early Breast Cancer: The Long Goodbye

Thomas Grinda, MD12 0 and Harold J. Burstein, MD, PhD1 0

DOI https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO-24-01916

For more than 50 years, through successive iterations of regimens incorporating alkylating agents, anthracyclines, and taxanes, adjuvant cytotoxic chemotherapy has improved the prognosis of patients with early breast cancer, reducing recurrence and cancer-related death.^{1,2} Remarkable progress in supportive care—especially antiemetics and growth factor support has made treatment feasible and tolerable for a greater percentage of patients, although longerterm risks remain, including neuropathy, fatigue, and deconditioning. Anthracyclines in particular are persistently linked to rare instances of cardiac injury or myelodysplasia/acute myeloid leukemia (AML).1,3

In parallel with improvements in adjuvant chemotherapy has come the recognition that breast cancer subtypes vary in their need for adjuvant chemotherapy owing to the efficacy of targeted endocrine and anti-human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) therapies. On the basis of genomic analyses, most patients with anatomic stage I or II, hormone receptor-positive breast cancers can effectively be treated without chemotherapy at all,⁴⁻⁶ whereas those with earlystage HER2-positive cancers can do very well with chemotherapy and trastuzumab-based regimens that omit anthracyclines.7-9 Among patients with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), the incorporation of immunotherapy into standard treatments¹⁰ has prompted suggestions that nonanthracycline regimens may suffice.11 The question that remains is which patients and which cancers still need anthracycline-based adjuvant chemotherapy?

ACCOMPANYING CONTENT

Article, p. 373

Accepted September 11, 2024 Published November 5, 2024

J Clin Oncol 43:361-364 © 2024 by American Society of Clinical Oncology







5

APT: Can we leverage the effectiveness of trastuzumab to deescalate chemotherapy in low-risk HER2+ breast cancer?



Trial designed to determine if treatment with paclitaxel/trastuzumab is associated with a low (5%) rate of recurrence after 3 years

APT: OUTCOMES AT 10 YRS

DISEASE-FREE SURVIVAL

RECURRENCE FREE INTERVAL



Tolaney SM et al, Lancet Onc 2023

<u>RFI Events</u>= •Invasive Local/Regional Recurrence •Distant Recurrence •Death from Breast Cancer

APT: OUTCOMES AT 10 YRS

By Tumor Size



The trial cannot tell us which patients do not need any systemic therapy

By Hormone receptor Status



<u>RFI Events</u>= •Invasive Local/Regional Recurrence •Distant Recurrence •Death from Breast Cancer

Tolaney SM et al, Lancet Onc 2023

Replace cytotoxic therapy with a targeted drug

ATTEMPT Trial

A randomized (3:1), open-label phase II study



Study not powered to assess efficacy of TH or to compare efficacy of T-DM1 to TH

 Coprimary endpoints: 3-yr DFS in T-DM1; comparison of incidence of clinically relevant toxicities with T-DM1 vs TH, including: grade ≥ 3 non-hematologic AEs, grade ≥ 2 neurotoxicity, grade ≥ 4 hematologic AEs, febrile neutropenia, and any AE requiring dose delay or discontinuation of protocol therapy

> Tolaney S et al JCO 2021 Tolaney S et al Lancet Oncol 2023 Tarantino P et al JCO 2024

ATEMPT: CLINICALLY RELEVANT TOXICITY

Clinically Relevant Toxicity	T-DM1 (n = 383) N (%)	TH (n = 114) N (%)
Grade ≥3 non-hematologic toxicity	37 (10%)	13 (11%)
Grade ≥ 2 neurotoxicity	42 (11%)	26 (23%)
Grade ≥4 hematologic toxicity	4 (1%)	0 (0%)
Febrile neutropenia	0 (0%)	2 (2%)
Any toxicity requiring dose delay	106 (28%)	30 (26%)
Any toxicity requiring early discontinuation	67 (17%)	7 (6%)
Total	176 (46%)	53 (46%)



Tailored therapy: Image –guided optimization (MRI, PET-CT)



TRAIN III Study (Louis et al, RS1-03, SABCS 2024)

Many Cancer Center

year



Primary endpoint: 3-yr EFS

Secondary endpoints: AEs, pCR (ypT0/is, N0), rCR, HR-QoL, 3-,5-,10-yr EFS/OS PTC-Ptz = cycles of 3 weeks: day 1 PTC + Ptz; day 8 only P. P = pacitaxel 80 mg/m² i.v.; C = carboplatin AUC 6 mg ml/min i.v.;

T = trastuzumab 6 mg/kg i.v.; Ptz = pertuzumab 420 mg i.v.

Radiological complete response (rCR): complete remission on MRI breast & axillary ultrasound combined with negative FNA/core biopsy in baseline N+ & negative vacuum assisted core biopsies of the marked original tumor region in HR+ patients

The regimen would be considered successful if no more than 38 events occurred in the HR- group, and no more than 34 events occurred in the HR+ group after 700 patient-years of follow-up.

	HR-negative (N=235)	HR-positive (N=232)
Age (median)	52	50
Clinical tumor stage		
cTx-cT0-cT1	25 (11%)	24 (10%)
cT2-T3	205 (87%)	200 (86%)
cT4	5 (2%)	8 (3%)
Nodal status		
Negative	93 (40%)	94 (41%)
Positive	142 (60%)	137 (59%)
HER2-status		
2+ and ISH+	14 (6%)	43 (19%)
3+	207 (88%)	178 (77%)
Unknown and ISH+	14 (6%)	11 (5%)



One in three patients with HR-/HER2+ and one in six with HR+/HER2+ breast cancer achieve a pCR with only three cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy

3-year event-free and overall survival

- HR-negative --- HR-positive

3-year EFS rate:

92.1% (95% CI 88.5-95.8)

92.0% (95% CI 88.5-95.6)



97.8% (95% CI 95.9-99.7)

98.7% (95% CI 97.2-100)

0%	0 io 20 Time	30 40 50 (months)		10	20 30 40 50 Time (months)
		HR-negative (N=235)	HR-positive (N=232)		
	Event-free survival events	19	21]	Primary endpoint was met
	Distant recurrence	9	8		with <29 events in UP.
	Brain metastases	6	3		$v_{\rm HII} < 30 \text{ events in HK}$
	Locoregional recurrence	7	6		patients (p=0.001) and <34
	Second primary non-breast cancer	2	4		events in HR+ patients
	Second primary breast cancer	0	3		(p=0.023)
	Death	1	0		





SAN ANTONIO BREAST CANCER

PHERGain: Can PET response be used to guide therapy de-escalation in HER2+ EBC?



C: Carboplatin; D: Docetaxel; EBC: Early breast cancer; ETx: Endocrine therapy (letrozole post-menopausal/tamoxifen pre-menopausal), Adjuvant ETx up to 3 years from surgery; PET: ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography; H: Trastuzumab SC; HER2: Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2; iDFS: Invasive disease-free survival; MRI: Magnetic resonance Imaging; P: Pertuzumab IV; R: Randomization; TCHP: Trastuzumab, pertuzumab, docetaxel, and carboplatin. ⁺ All hormonal receptor-positive patients received ETx concomitantly with PH (except on chemotherapy).

- PET RESPONDERS: RECIST responders after cycle 2 with SUV_{max} reduction ≥40%.
- pCR, Pathological complete response (ypT0/isN0)



Primary Endpoint: pCR in ¹⁸F-FDG-PET responders in group B

pCR was observed in patients with both HER2++ and HER2+++, pts with stage II and stage III, and pts ER+ and ER-. **PET Responders and Non**pCR rate **Responders** 100 90 Challenge: p<0.001 80 40% 95% CI, 31.6-44 70 achieved (20.4%) 60 ...60% need pCR (%) pCR with 37.9% 50 HP... but escalated 40 adjuvant therapy!!! 30 227 20 (79.6%)10 86/227 0 ypT0/isN0 PET Responder PET Non-Responder Null hypothesis: pCR ≤20% Subgroup analysis: 3-y iDFS rate without CT in PET responders with pCR (n=86) Invasive Disease-Free Survival 80% Can we use upfront biomarker selection? 60% 3-year iDFS rate: 98.8% (95% CI: 96.3-100%) Events: 1/86 40%

(Regional recurrence)

15

84

18

84

21

82

20%

0%.

Number at risk



Tils

HER2DX

- HER2DX is a tool incorporating tumor size, nodal ٠ staging, and 4 gene expression signatures tracking immune infiltration, tumor cell proliferation, luminal differentiation, and the expression of the HER2 amplicon, into a single score.
- In a combined analysis of APT and ATEMPT, the ٠ HER2DX risk score was found able to identify a population of patients with higher risk of recurrence

Red - Low pCR



Time, y

Immune/IGG

HER2 amplicon

Luminal

Proliferation

Tumor stage

Nodal stage

Blue = Good outcome Red - Bad outcome

JAMA Oncology | Original Investigation

Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes and Survival Outcomes in Early ERBB2-Positive Breast Cancer 10-Year Analysis of the ShortHER Randomized Clinical Trial

Maria Vittoria Dieci, MD; Giancarlo Bisagni, MD; Stefania Bartolini, MD; Alessio Schirone, MD; Luigi Cavanna, MD; Antonino Musolino, PhD; Francesco Giotta, MD; Anita Rimanti, MD; Ornella Garrone, MD; Elena Bertone, MD; Katia Cagossi, MD; Samanta Sarti, MD; Antonella Ferro, MD; Federico Piacentini, PhD; Enrico Orvieto, MD; Melinda Sanders, MD; Federica Miglietta, PhD; Davide Massa, MD; Sara Balduzzi, PhD; Pierfranco Conte, MD; Roberto D'Amico, PhD; Valentina Guarneri, PhD





Patients with TILs 20% or higher who de-escalated trastuzumab duration and chemotherapy dose were not exposed to an excess risk of distant relapse or death

- Patient selection is crucial in either escalating or de-escalating strategies
 - High risk population require more treatments, even after pCR
- Urgent need for biomarkers to identify those patients requiring "more" or "less"treatment
- HER2-positive breast cancer is not a uniform entity
- Clinical trials to escalate or de-escalate systemic therapy in HER2-positive disease should increasingly consider, beyond pCR/RD, the hormone receptor status and the intrinsic molecular subtypes



Pathologic Complete Response and Individual Patient Prognosis after NACT plus anti-HER2 therapy in HER2+ early BC

TABLE 2. Multivariate Cox Models for EFS and OS According to pCR pCR+ pCR-EFS OS EFS OS **Prognostic Factor** HR (95% CI) Р HR (95% CI) Р HR (95% CI) Р HR (95% CI) P cT (cT1-2 v cT3-4) 0.62 (0.53 to 0.73) 0.47 (0.37 to 0.60) <.001 0.67 (0.50 to 0.90) .007 0.55 (0.34 to 0.87) .011 <.001 cN(cN - vcN +)0.66 (0.55 to 0.79) 0.75 (0.58 to 0.96) .025 0.72 (0.53 to 0.98) .039 0.61 (0.36 to 1.03) .065 < .0010.97 (0.73 to 1.29) .842 0.59 (0.50 to 0.68) 0.44 (0.36 to 0.55) 0.76 (0.47 to 1.22) .251 Hormone receptor status .005 <.001 (hormone receptor+ v hormone receptor-) Α В EFS-pCR+ Patients EFS-pCR-Patients 1.0 1.0 0.8 0.8 EFS (probability) EFS (probability) 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 Log-rank test P < .001 Log-rank test P < .001 0.2 0.2 Cohort Patients Events Cohort Patients Events 0 risk factor 377 54 0 risk factor 408 35 193 1 risk factor 731 1 risk factor 635 98 760 261 2 risk factors 79 2 risk factors 454 173 3 risk factors 345 72 84 0 12 24 36 48 60 72 84 96 108 120 132 0 12 24 36 48 60 96 108 120 132 Time (months) Time (months) van Mackelenbergh MT, No. at risk: No. at risk: 0 risk factor 408 394 382 74 13 0 risk factor 377 357 336 305 242 175 82 342 281 188 42 17 9 47 25 11 10 65 60 18 507 279 123 67 19 14 602 278 122 137 1 risk factor 635 622 575 397 28 2 1 risk factor 731 661 520 389 24 16 11 J Clin Oncol. 2023 41:2998-3008 23 454 442 392 358 278 213 84 41 15 9 760 667 560 468 349 267 2 risk factors 18 2 2 risk factors 9 7 345 276 202 158 114 90 40 3 risk factors 6

Need for Approach to Patients with RD (high risk) using preoperative therapy to adapt adjuvant treatment



Katherine update results



CURRENT ISSUE V SPECIALTIES V TOPICS V

This content is available to subscribers. Subscribe now. Already have an account?

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

f 📉 in 🖾 😽

Survival with Trastuzumab Emtansine in Residual

HER2-Positive Breast Cancer

Authors: Charles E. Geyer, Jr., M.D., Michael Untch, M.D., Ph.D., Chiun-Sheng Huang, M.D., Ph.D., M.P.H., Max S. Mano, M.D., Ph.D., Eleftherios P. Mamounas, M.D., M.P.H., Norman Wolmark, M.D., Priya Rastogi, M.D., KATHERINE Study Group* Author Info & Affiliations

Published January 15, 2025 | N Engl J Med 2025;392:249-257 | DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2406070 | VOL. 392 NO. 3







KATHERINE Study Update

Escalation strategy



Destiny-Breasto5 / COMPASS HER2 RD / ASTEFANIA

NCT04622319 NCT04457596 NCT04873362

FASCINATE N trial

HER2+ Subtype Study Design





Tumor assessments, including CT or MRI scans, were conducted by investigators at baseline and every two cycles thereafter until disease progression, patient withdrawal, initiation of new therapy, or death, in accordance with RECIST (version 1.1) guidelines.

Efficacy Analysis' pCR





There was no significant difference in pCR rate among the SHR-A1811, SHR-A1811 plus pyrotinib, and PCbHP groups

HYPOTHESIS

Potent ADC-delivered chemotherapy may overshadow dual HER2 blockade effects

Upcoming potential drug approval in early-stage HER2+ breast cancer





NCT05113251

Destiny-Breast11 Phase III Trial

Harbeck N et al. SABCS 2021

First line therapy

Where were we?



<u>Design:</u> Phase 3 RCT AC/EC or Paclitaxel +/- trastuzumab in 1st line HER2+ MBC Median OS:

20.3 mo vs 25.1 mo (p=0.046)

Slamon D NEJM 2001

CLEOPATRA TRIAL





1st line therapy

• Pertuzumab + trastuzumab + taxane is first line SOC

Addition of Pertuzumab Improves PFS

(median follow-up 99.9 months)



Swain S, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21:519-530

Addition of Pertuzumab Improves Overall Survival (median follow-up 99.9 months)



PHILA phase III clinical trial



N=590

Key eligibility criteria

- HER2+ recurrent or
 metastatic breast cancer*
- Treatment-naive for metastatic disease
- At least one measurable lesion#
- ECOG performance status
 of 0 or 1
- Adequate organ function





Docetaxel + trastuzumab +/- pyrotinib (n=590; PHILA Phase III trial)







% of pts who received subsequent anti-HER2 Pyrotinib arm: 52% HT arm: 76%



	PHILA	CLEOPATRA*	PERUSE**
Ν	590	808	1436
HR-positive	58%	49%	64%
HER2 3+	81%	89%	-
Visceral disease	75%	78%	69%
No previous systemic therapy	49%	53%	-
Prior trastuzumab	15%	11%	28%
Taxane + trastuzumab			
ORR	72%	69%	-
Median PFS	10.5 mo	12.4 mo	-
Taxane + dual HER2 blockade			
ORR	84%	80%	79%
Median PFS	22.1 MO	18.5 mo	20.7 mo

*, docetaxel; **, docetaxel, paclitaxel or nab-paclitaxel



Grade ≥3 diarrhea: 47.8% PHILA vs 7.9% CLEOPATRA

Xu et al. SABCS 2024 Baselga et al. NEJM 2012 Swain et al. Lancet Oncol. 2020 Miles et al. Ann Oncol 2021

Can be done more?



Crosstalk between HER2 and ER pathways

ET ± sing	le anti-HER2	therapy
-----------	--------------	---------

TAnDEM	111	Trastuzumab + anastrozole <i>versus</i> anastrozole	HR+/HER2+ (207)	4.8 versus 2.4 (p=0.0016)	28.5 versus 23.9 (p=0.325)
EGF30008	Ш	Lapatinib + letrozole <i>versus</i> letrozole	HR+/HER2+ (219)	8.2 <i>versus</i> 3.0 (<i>p</i> = 0.019)	33.3 versus 32.3
eLEcTRA	III	Trastuzumab + letrozole <i>versus</i> letrozole	HR+/HER2+ [57]	14.1 <i>versus</i> 3.3 (<i>p</i> = 0.23)	Data not shown
$ET\pmdual$ anti-HE	R2 therapy				
PERTAIN	II	Pertuzumab + trastuzumab + Al <i>versus</i> trastuzumab + Al	HR+/HER2+ (258)	18.9 <i>versus</i> 15.8 (<i>p</i> = 0.007)	60.2 versus 57.2
ET/CT + single and	ti-HER2 therap	у			
SYSUCC-002	Ш	Trastuzumab + ET <i>versus</i> trastuzumab + CT	HR+/HER2+ (392)	19.2 versus 14.8 (p<0.0001)	33.9 versus 32.5 (p=0.094)



- The cyclin D1-CDK4 axis is essential for the initiation and maintenance of growth of ErbB2-driven mammary carcinomas
- Persistent cyclin D1-CDK4 activity drives resistance to the HER2 pathway blockade

Inhibiting both CDK4/6 and HER2 maximizes suppression of TSC2 phosphorylation, leading to a more complete shutdown of S6RP phosphorylation and inhibition of Rb, reducing cellular proliferation.

AFT-38 PATINA





Start of Study AFTER Induction Patients who experienced disease progression during induction or screening were not included in study. Patients with *de novo* resistance were eliminated

AFT-38 PATINA Study Design







Investigator-Assessed PFS





CLEOPATRA End-of-Study Results:

Adding Pertuzumab to Taxane + Trastuzumab Improves PFS and OS

(median follow-up ~100 months)



90% of patients never had prior trastuzumab so PFS should be higher with CLEOPATRA than in PATINA, where 71% had prior trastuzumab

<u>Why is this PFS so much</u> <u>lower than the control arm in</u> <u>PATINA?</u>

> Endocrine therapy was not allowed during maintenance setting in CLEOPATRA

Swain S, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21:519-530.

PERTAIN End-of-Study Results: Adding Pertuzumab to Trastuzumab and Aromatase Inhibitor (induction chemo per investigator choice)

Median PFS: 20.6 mo with pertuzumab (16.9 mos with chemo; 26.6 mos without chemo)



72% of patients had no prior trastuzumab Endocrine therapy used by all in this study

Arpino G, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2023;29:1468-76.

Secondary endpoint: Overall Survival (interim analysis)





Final overall survival analysis requires 247 events. Only 119 observed thus far.

> *Kaplan-Meier method; [†]Unstratified Cox model; CI=confidence interval; NE=not evaluable; OS=overall survival:

(Grade ≥ 2 in ≥10% of patients)



Adverse Events, n (%)*	Palbociclib (N= 261)			Control (N= 248)		
	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4
Neutropenia	52 (19.9)	165 (63.2)	12 (4.6)	10 (4.0)	11 (4.4)	0 (0.0)
White blood cell count decreased	30 (11.5)	30 (11.5)	1 (0.4)	2 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Fatigue	60 (22.9)	14 (5.4)	0 (0.0)	32 (12.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Stomatitis	45 (17.2)	11 (4.2)	0 (0.0)	3 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Diarrhea	69 (26.4)	29 (11.1)	0 (0.0)	26 (10.5)	4 (1.6)	0 (0.0)
Upper respiratory tract infection	30 (11.5)	1 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	16 (6.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Urinary tract infection	26 (10.0)	2 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	19 (7.7)	1 (0.4)	0 (0.0)
Arthralgia	23 (8.8)	4 (1.5)	0 (0.0)	44 (17.7)	3 (1.2)	0 (0.0)
Ejection Fraction decreased	22 (8.4)	1 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	21 (8.5)	8 (3.2)	0 (0.0)
Cardiac heart failure	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.4)	1 (0.4)	0 (0.0)

 The incidence of Grade ≥4 AEs regardless of treatment attribution was similar across study arms (12.3% vs. 8.9% for palbociclibcontaining arm vs. control; p-value = 0.21)

No treatment-related deaths were reported in either arm of the study

*AEs were assessed per CTCAE V4.0 regardless of treatment attribution. Stomatitis, mouth ulceration, mucosal inflammation, and mucositis were assessed as medical concepts using grouped terms. Fatigue and asthenia were assessed as medical concepts using grouped terms. Cardiac safety data was also included in the table above. AE=adverse events.

Can be done more in first line?



HEREDERA TRIAL: A role for ORAL SERDs IN 1st LINE?

A PHASE III, RANDOMIZED, OPEN-LABEL STUDY EVALUATING THE EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF GIREDESTRANT IN COMBINATION WITH PHESGO VERSUS PHESGO AFTER INDUCTION THERAPY WITH PHESGO+ TAXANE IN PATIENTS WITH PREVIOUSLY UNTREATED HER2-POSITIVE, ESTROGEN RECEPTOR-POSITIVE LOCALLY-ADVANCED OR METASTATIC BREAST CANCER



INAVO 122 TRIAL: A role for PI3K inh in 1st LINE?

Phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study designed to compare efficacy and safety of Inavolisib in combination with Phesgo



HGG - Next Generation Sequencing, WEG - Whole Scione Sequencing, WGG - Whole General Sequencing

Front Line Standard is Likely to Change: T-DXd?

DESTINY-Breast09: Is T-DXd superior to THP in first-line setting?

DEMETHER: T-DXd Induction



Second line therapy and beyond

DESTINY-Breast03: First Randomized Ph3 Study of T-DXd

An open-label, multicenter study (NCT03529110)

Patients

- Unresectable or metastatic HER2-positive^a breast cancer
- Previously treated with trastuzumab and taxane in advanced/metastatic setting^b
- Could have clinically stable, treated brain metastases

Stratification factors

- Hormone receptor status
- Prior treatment with pertuzumab
- History of visceral disease





Updated data at ASCO 2024

Analysis (DCO Nov 20, 2023) (DCO Nov 20, 2023)

Interim analysis for PFS (data cutoff: May 21, 2021)

- Efficacy boundary for superiority: P < 0.000204 (based on 245 events)
- IDMC recommendation to unblind study (July 30, 2021)
- Key secondary endpoint, OS: boundary for efficacy: P < 0.000265 (based on 86 events)

services on Erika Hamilton, MD



Efficacy by investigator assessment

"Based on Clopper-Pearson method for single proportion and for the difference of 2 proportions with continuity correction. "Median is from Kaptan-Meser analysis. C) for median was computed using the Brookmever-Crowley method

Overall survival T-DXd n = 261 T-DM1 n = 263 Median (95% CI), months 52.6 (48.7-NE) 42.7 (35.4-NE) Hazard ratio (95% Ch 0.73 (0.56-0.94) Patients with events, n (%) 110 (42.1) 126 (47.9) T-DXd: 67.6% (95% CI. 61.3%-73.0%) DM1: 55.7% (95% CI, 40.2%-61.7%) T-DXd: 62.5% (95% Cl, 56.2%-68.3%) DM1: 50.1% (95% CI, 43.6%-56.2%) 26 30 32 34 Time, months 1-04154 (mg/kg) 361 357 255 250 244 339 236 231 219 212 202 10 82 178 175 169 163 162 156 T.GW1 3.6 mg/kg 265 255 244 256 233 225 213 201 103 105 175 175 167 -The risk of death was reduced by 27% for patients receiving T-DXd compared with those receiving T-DM1

OS metal surveit 1,0M1 trashounab enfansine 1,0X4 trashounab deudecan

Δ mPFS: 22 months

2024 ASCO PRESENTED BY: Erika Hamilton, MD #ASCO24

ASCO COMPACTION

UDDERS CANCER

These data continue to support the use of T-DXd as standard of care in patients with HER2-positive mBC whose disease progressed after trastuzumab and taxane and show the longest OS observed in this setting

#ASCO24

entansine, T-DXd, trastuzumab denoteca

2024 ASCO

Evidence for Treatment After T-DXd in MBC

Real-world retrospective study of non-T-DXd tx immediately after T-DXd¹:

- N = 352 patients with HER2+ MBC
- Median 4L of therapy prior to T-DXd



Multicenter cohort study of tucatinib + trastuzumab + capecitabine with prior T-DXd²:

- N = 101 patients with HER2+ MBC
- Median 4L of prior therapy for MBC

Outcomes, mo (95% CI)	All Patients (n = 101)	Patients With BM (n = 39)
mPFS	4.7 (3.9-5.6)	5.0 (3.9-6.8)
mOS	13.4 (11.1-NR)	12.9 (9.6-NR)
mTTNT	5.2 (4.5-7.0)	5.7 (4.7-8.6)

Progression on prior T-DXd Discontinued prior T-DXd in the absence of progression

Treatments are ordered by prevalence

*Log-rank test to compare real-world PFS among all treatment types

Brain metastases

CNS Disease is Frequent in HER2+ MBC

• 30-50% incidence-risk continues over time



Of N=64 patients alive \ge 3 yrs from HER2+ MBC diagnosis, the number of patients who developed new brain metastases in each time interval

Brain Metastases in HER2+ Breast Cancer



Darlix A, Br J Cancer 2020; Pasquier D, et al. Eur J Cancer 2020; Le Rhun et al, Ann Oncol 2021

Olson et al, under review; Brufsky et al, CCR 2011; Lin et al, JCO 2008; Lin et al, CCR 2009; Boccardo et al, ASCO 2008; Sutherland et al. Br J Ca 2010: Metro et al. Ann Oncol 2011: Lin et al J Neurooncol 2011: Bachelot et al. ASCO 2011

Destiny Breast 01, 02, 03 pooled analysis



DESTINY-Breast01, -02, and -03

4

4



BM, brain metastasis, BICR, blinded independent central review; DoR, duration of response; IC, intracrania; NA, not available; ORR, objective response rate; T-DXd, trastuzumab deruztecan. This table considers both target and non-target leasions at paseline. Leasions in previously irradated areas were not considered measurable target leasions unless there was demonstrated progression in the leasion e1/CORW was assessed per RESIST V1. In 4/CORW As due to small number of responders (n = 10).

> **Stable**: treated with local modalities and nonprogressive

Active: either untreated asymptomatic or treated with local modalities but progressive

DB01/02/03: CNS-PFS with T-DXd in HER2+ BM



Numerically longer median CNS-PFS was observed in patients with treated/stable and active BMs randomized to T-DXd vs comparator

Per FDA criteria, patients with untreated BMs from DESTINY-Breast02 and -03 would be considered to have active BMs⁵

 The population of patients with baseline BMs from DESTINY-Breast02 and -03 therefore consists of a mix of treated/stable and untreated/active metastases

Sara A. Hurvitz, MD



HER2+ MBC Treatment Algorithm





* After induction chemotherapy, maintenance therapy with ET and CDK4/6 with HP indicated for ER pos disease